

REMARKS

The Office Action dated Jun. 12, 2007, has been received and its contents have been carefully noted. Applicants note that claims 3-16, 18, 19, 22-25 have been considered as being allowable, but for their dependence from a rejected base claim.

By this response, claims 1-2, 21-22, and 29 are cancelled. And claims 3-20, 23-28, and 30-36 have been amended. No new matter has been introduced. Meanwhile, Applicant provides the following comments in order to clarify what is presently set forth by Applicant's claimed invention. Claims 3-20, 23-28, and 30-36 remain pending in the instant application.

Amendments to the Specification

Applicant corrects the typographical and grammatical errors in par. [0006].

Claim Objections

Claims 4-19, 23-28, 30-36 are objected to because of the informalities regarding the "wherein" clause. According to Examiner's request, Applicant removes the "wherein" in these claims and overcomes the objection.

Section 102 Rejections

Independent claims 1 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by Gonsalves (US Patent Publication 2002/0041709). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

After the amendments, Applicant submits three Independent claims 3, 10 and 20 in the present application. Examiner should readily appreciate that the amended claim 3 includes the feature of allowable claim 3; the amended claim 10 includes the feature of allowable claim 10; and the amended claim 20 includes the features of allowable claims 22 and 29.

For these reasons, Applicant submits that the claims 3, 10, and 20 are allowable. And a dependent claim should be considered allowable when its parent claim is allowed. Accordingly, provided the independent claims 3, 10, and 20 are allowed, claims 4-9, 11-19, 23-28, and 30-36, which include all the limitations of claims 3, 10, and 20 should be allowed.

CONCLUSIONS

In light of the above remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that all pending Claims 3-20, 23-28, and 30-36 are in condition for allowance, and respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejections. Accordingly, a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. **This Response is being timely filed within the shortened statutory time limit provided for such response so that no extension fee applies. If it is determined that there is a further fee associated with this Response, the United States Patent and Trademark Office is requested to consider this Response (with a petition if necessary) and charge the appropriate fee to Deposit Account No. 19-2814.**

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 9/6/07

By: 

Cynthia L. Pillote

Reg. No. 42,999

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.
400 East Van Buren
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202
Phone: (602) 382-6296
Fax: (602) 382-6070
Email: cpillote@swlaw.com